

Funding and State Agency Involvement :

Should there be an effort to invoke Chapter 257 so the state is legally obligated to do rate reviews and have a rate setting formula? Would this be helpful or is there a better way to get fair rates and contracts?

Shelter (the roof, walls and floor and staff) is at the core of the procurement – how should the other components be funded and how should they work in this region including: the “front door”, housing search, workforce development, children’s, services, clinical services, DV services, etc?

What role should EOHHS have in the three main phases of EA – front door, shelter, and stabilization? Should this be a joint procurement? Should there be Memorandums of Understanding?

What more could DHCD bring to the table – from the Div of Housing Stabilization and the other divisions?

Stabilization

What are pro’s and cons of different models of stabilization? What would make each model work? What would make each model a challenge to implement?

- A. Shifting to a 3 – 6 mo more of a “critical time intervention” model where shelters provide transition services, rather than ongoing stabilization. The focus of a “transition worker” would be on planning and linking to community based programs.
- B. Extending HomeBASE and stabilization for 2 years
- C. Developing a true team approach to stabilization that relies on a range of community partners, including EOHHS agency staff
- D. Maintaining the current system